



JAYME FERRARI NETO, CARLOS ALEXANDRE COSTA CRUSCIOL AND ALAN FRANZLUEBBERS

Department of Crop Systems
FCA/UNESP-BOTUCATU, SP.
jfneto@fca.unesp.br

Alan J. Franzluebbbers, USDA - Agricultural Research Service
Raleigh, North Carolina, alan.franzluebbbers@ars.usda.gov

Support: 

INTRODUCTION

Crop rotation and surface application of soil acidity amendments could affect short-term dynamics of active fractions of soil organic matter.

OBJECTIVE

Our aim was to evaluate the microbial biomass and mineralizable carbon in the profile of a Rhodic Hapludox as a function of crop rotation system and surface application of soil amendments to alleviate acidity constraints in a no-tillage system in Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was set up in October 2006 in Botucatu, State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. The experimental design is a randomized block in a split-plot with four replications. The plots comprise the crop rotations and the subplots the superficial application of soil acidity amendments, and a control.

Application of lime and silicate

Reapplication of lime and silicate

	2006		2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013	
	summer	winter	summer	winter	summer	winter	summer	winter	summer	winter	summer	winter	summer	winter	summer	
System I: soybean		fallow	maize	fallow	rice	fallow	soybean	fallow	maize	fallow	common bean	fallow	rice	fallow	soybean	
System II: soybean		common oat	maize	common bean	rice	castor bean	soybean	sorghum	maize	crambe	common bean	wheat	rice	wheat	soybean	
System III: soybean		pearl millet	maize	pigeon pea	rice	sun hemp	soybean	pearl millet	maize	lupine bean	common bean	pearl millet	rice	sun hemp	soybean	
System IV: soybean		<i>Brachiaria Ruziziensis</i>	maize	<i>B. Ruziziensis</i>	rice	<i>B. Ruziziensis</i>	soybean	<i>B. Ruziziensis</i>	maize	<i>B. Ruziziensis</i>	common bean	<i>B. brizantha</i>	rice	<i>B. brizantha</i>	soybean	

Evaluations: microbial biomass (chloroform fumigation-incubation) and mineralizable carbon (aerobic incubation at 50% water-filled pore space and 25 °C for 24 days). **Statistical analyses:** Means were compared by the t test (LSD) at a probability level of 10%.

RESULTS

Source	Mineralizable C (mg kg)	SMBC (mg kg)	Source	Mineralizable C (mg kg)	SMBC (mg kg)	Source	Mineralizable C (mg kg)	SMBC (mg kg)
	0 to 5 cm			5 to 10 cm			10 to 20 cm	
<u>System (S)</u>			<u>System (S)</u>			<u>System (S)</u>		
I	490.0a	881.8b	I	205.0a	444.7a	I	128.4a	255.6a
II	491.1a	852.2b	II	224.4a	390.0b	II	122.2a	276.3a
III	501.7a	886.1b	III	238.2a	419.2ab	III	142.3a	288.5a
IV	586.3a	1080.6a	IV	234.0a	459.2a	IV	128.1a	275.3a
<u>Amendment (A)</u>			<u>Amendment (A)</u>			<u>Amendment (A)</u>		
Control	417.0C	832.6B	Control	210.0A	414.2A	Control	136.0A	280.1A
Silicate	507.8B	893.3B	Silicate	237.0A	415.0A	Silicate	129.8A	269.1A
Lime	627.5A	1050.0A	Lime	229.4A	455.6A	Lime	125.0A	272.5A
	<u>Probability > F</u>			<u>Probability > F</u>			<u>Probability > F</u>	
S	0.20	0.08	S	0.21	0.07	S	0.51	0.30
A	<0.001	0.005	A	0.42	0.33	A	0.56	0.80
SxA	0.80	0.35	SxA	0.46	0.71	SxA	0.52	0.82

CONCLUSION

- Crop rotation had little effect on soil microbial properties, except at 0-5 cm, in which forage was important to enhance microbial biomass.
- Acidity amendment enhanced mineralizable C and microbial biomass only at 0-5 cm. Lime was more effective than silicate.