

**ASA Section: Environmental Quality** Biochar Effects On Soils, Plants, Session: Waters, and Greenhouse Gas Emissions: II

# Impacts of Soil Physical Quality by Organic and **Inorganic Amendments**

**Atanu Mukherjee\* and Rattan Lal** 

**UID: 72024** 

**Carbon Management and Sequestration Center** 

2021 Coffey Road, Columbus, OH: 43210

The Ohio State University





Monday, October 22, 2012: 4:00 PM-6:00 PM, Exhibit Hall AB, Level 1, Cincinnati, OH

\* Corresponding Author: mukherjee.70@osu.edu

### **Hypotheses**

#### > Bulk Density, Surface Area are better improved by biochar due to its higher porosity but Aggregate Stability by Humic Acid addition due to higher complexation ability

> Soil-C is stabilized more by biochar compared to that by other amendments

# **Experimental Design**

#### **Component 1: Field Scale**

 $\geq$  2 m x 2 m plots > 3 Amendments, 1 Control (3 replications)



**Component 2: Laboratory Scale** 

 $\geq$  12 inches x 3.5 inches clear polyvinyl columns  $\geq$  1 kg soil + 10 g Amendments

due to its refractory nature

> Green House Gas (GHG) emissions are suppressed more with biochar due to microbial effect

## **Objectives**

> Assess the impacts of various amendments on ✓ Soil quality ✓ GHG fluxes  $\checkmark$  MRT of C, N

Compare amendments based on their impacts and field suggestions

## **Materials and Methods**

- Location of Soil Site: Waterman Farm, Columbus, Ohio (44°02"00"N, 83°02"30"W)
- Soil Characterization: Crosby Silt Loam (Soil Survey, 1996)

<u>Amendments</u>: Biochar (Oak-650 °C, 3 hour pyrolysis time), Humic Acid (HA) (Sigma Aldrich), Aluminium-Water Treatment Residuals (WTR) (Water treatment plant, Columbus, OH)

- $\succ$  Rate of application: 1% (w/w)
- Soybean planted
- Soil analyses: Bulk Density, Aggregate Stability, Surface Area, Porosity, Moisture Content, pH, C, N Monitored GHG emissions > Yield of crop after 3.5 months

Week 3





> 3 Amendments, 1 Control (3 replications)  $\succ$  Rate of application: 1% (w/w) Periodically leached with 200 mL water > Soil analyses: Bulk Density, Surface Area, Porosity, Moisture Content, pH, C, N Leachate analyses: C, N Monitored GHGs



### **Results and Discussions**





> No effect in sub-soil after 14 weeks (data not shown)





> All amended soils suggested reduction in C leaching compared to control soil

Leaching of C (Column)

Biochar amended soil leached lowest C over time compared to other amendments

Incubation time (Days)

---Control ---Humic Acid ---WTR ----Biochar

Estimation of MRT of C				
Scales	Field	Column		
Treatments	Years			
Control	149	3		
Humic Acid	329	20		
WTR	409	16		
<b>Biochar</b>	11,854	44		
Literature Review: ~ 20,000 years		Zimmerman, 2010: 4 x 10 <sup>7</sup> Cross and Sohi, 2011: 19,838 Peng et al. 2011: 244-1700		

Estimation of MRT of N				
Scales	Field	Column		
Treatments	Years			
Control	0*	20		
Humic Acid	51,740	143		
WTR	67,7605	123		
<b>Biochar</b>	1,04,986	660		

\* 11 days

Mean Residence Time (MRT)

Higher stability of C and N by all amendments

Biochar affected most on soil-C and soil-N stability compared to other amendments

> With preliminary estimation, MRT of C and N can go up to 10<sup>4</sup> and 10<sup>6</sup> years, respectively, with biochar addition