

Effects

90

135

Urea

UAN

45

90

Todress N source

Effect of Nitrogen Sources, Rates, and Application Time on Spring Wheat Yield and Grain Protein



Olga S. Walsh and Robin Christiaens, Western Triangle Agricultural Research Center, Conrad, Montana

EVALUATED FACTORS

Fertilizer Timing: Preplant vs Topdress PREPLANT

- **Supplies N (nitrogen) to establish crop stand Better soil/weather conditions for fertilization** Higher risk of N loss (immobilization, volatilization) TOPDRESS
- **Supplies N at maximum plant uptake higher N** use efficiency
- **V** N fertilization after Feekes 5 wheat can catch up without decrease in yield
- Enables fertilization based on crop need for fertilizer N and crop's yield potential

OBJECTIVE, MATERIALS and METHODS

OBJECTIVE: V To determine the most efficient nitrogen (N) fertilizer source, N rate, and N fertilizer combination for optimizing application time Montana spring wheat yield while maximizing grain protein



✓ 3 dryland experimental sites: Western Triangle Research Center (WTARC), Conrad, MT, and 2 on-farm studies (Jack Patton, Knees, Chouteau County, MT and Lindsey Martin, Pendroy, Teton County, MT) **Choteau spring wheat variety** Treatment structure is reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Treatment structure for all site years.

Treatment ' N rate. '	odress Topdress source N time
-----------------------	----------------------------------

Fertilizer Sources: Granular vs Liquid GRANULAR N (UREA) V Up to 30% N loss as ammonia for broadcasted urea

 Urea applied with the seed may damage seeds, may cause drying out of seed bed – affects germination

LIQUID N (UREA AMMONIUM NITRATE = UAN)Plants absorb water and nutrients through leaves **•** Efficiency of foliar fertilizers may be higher Crop injury/leaf burn is of concern

			1	0	0	n/a	n/a
1/2			2	90	0	n/a	n/a
			3	135	0	n/a	n/a
Red			4	45	45	urea	Before flowering
			5	45	45	urea	After flowering
Alber			6	45	90	urea	Before flowering
			7	45	90	urea	After flowering
H.	CARDINA MARTIN		8	45	45	UAN	Before flowering
L'SURA			9	45	45	UAN	After flowering
N.S.			10	45	90	UAN	Before flowering
Applying topdress fertilizer N to spring wheat plots			11	45	90	UAN	After flowering
as UAN (LEFT) and as urea (RIGHT)			111129			ALC: NO	ALL TO A CONTRACT

WTARC-2011

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

✓ Grain yield data is reported here; grain samples are currently being analyzed for protein content. Spring wheat grain yields varied greatly between siteyears (Figure 1). At all site-years, strong linear relationship between soil nitrate-N and spring wheat grain yields were observed (Figure 2). Analysis of data from 5 site-years indicated that there were no statistically significant differences in mean grain yields of spring wheat associated with topdress N source, topdress N rate, and topdress N fertilizer application time (Table 2).

In 3 of 5 site-years, the rate of preplant N fertilizer rate significantly affected spring wheat grain yields. Specifically, at WTARC in 2011 and 2012, and at Martin in 2012, preplant application of 90 kg N ha⁻¹ resulted in significantly higher grain yields. Increasing preplant N fertilizer rate to 135 kg N ha⁻¹ did not further increase grain yields. Due to comparable prices of urea and UAN at the time of topdress application (urea cost of \$0.34 per kg of N vs UAN cost of \$0.36 per kg of N), and taking into an account the lack of response to topdress N fertilizer source, either source could be recommended based on this data set. Further data analysis is necessary to access the effect of topdress N source, rate and application time on spring wheat grain protein content.

Table 2. The effects of preplant N rate, topdress N source, topdress N rate and topdress time on spring wheat grain yields

Mean grain yield, kg ha⁻¹ 8000 ha 7000 y = 73.008x + 8.19012011 2012 $R^2 = 0.80$ Š 7000 WTARC PATTON WTARC PATTON MARTIN 6000 Š yield Preplant N rate, kg N ha⁻¹ yield 6000 5000 2971(a) 1478(a) 5783(a) 4550(a) 736(a) rain 4830(a) 3754(b) 1843(b) 1582(a) 7476(b) ain 5000 4000 7448(b) 0 g 1833(b) 1548(a) 4918(a) 3844(b) eat 4000 3000 1275(a) 4976(a) 3617(a) 1578(a) 7483(a) 3000 2000 ring ring 3631(a) 1540(a) 7350(a) 4814(a) 1432(a) Sp Topdress N rate, kg N ha⁻¹ Sp 2000 1000 1471(a) 3523(a) 1536(a) 4766(a) 6902(a) 1000 3645(a) 7292(a) 4775(ab) 1330(a) 1547(a) 0 100 75 25 50 1376(a) 1571(a) 5014(b) 3603(a) 7541(a) 10 11



AKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank Montana Fertilizer Advisory Committee for funding this project. We are grateful to Lindsey Martin, Jack Patton, Dan Picard, John Miller, Clint Rouns, Amber Stenson, Jeff Jerome, Liz Miller and Connie Miller for their significant contribution to this project.

<u>CONTACT INFORMATION</u>: Dr. Olga S. Walsh, WTARC, MSU telephone: (406)278-7707; e-mail: olga.walsh@montana.edu; web: http://ag.montana.edu/wtarc/

PATTON-2011 WTARC-2012 PATTON-2012

MARTIN-2012